STATE OF CALIFORNIA — DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT

(REGULATIONS AND ORDERS) STD. 399 (REV. 12/2008)

See SAM Section 6601 - 6616 for Instructions and Code Citations

DEPARTMENT NAME Water Resources	CONTACT PERSON Manucher Alemi		TELEPHONE NUMBER 916-651-9662
DESCRIPTIVE TITLE FROM NOTICE REGISTER OR FORM 400	Wandoner Archit		NOTICE FILE NUMBER
Agricultural Water Measurement Regulation			Z
	ECONOMIC IMPACT	STATEMENT	•
A. ESTIMATED PRIVATE SECTOR COST IMPACTS	(Include calculations and assum	otions in the rulemaking record.	.)
Check the appropriate box(es) below to indicate wh	ether this regulation:		,
a. Impacts businesses and/or employees		e. Imposes reporting requi	irements
c. Impacts jobs or occupations		g. Impacts individuals	
d. Impacts California competitiveness		h. None of the above (Exp Fiscal Impact Statemen	
h. (cont.) Regulation applies to ag v	water suppliers. Cost will be p	assed on to their customers	(see attached).
(If any box in Items 1 a through g is checked	i, complete this Economic Impact	Statement.)	
2. Enter the total number of businesses impacted: $\frac{10}{2}$			profits.): Farming operations
served by agricultural water suppliers that			
Enter the number or percentage of total businesses	s impacted that are small busines	ses: <= 9400	
3. Enter the number of businesses that will be created	; unknown	eliminated: 0	
Explain: Creates business for water measurem		astallation, and repair.	
4. Indicate the geographic extent of impacts:	Statewide Local or regio	nal (List areas.):	
	•		<u> </u>
5. Enter the number of jobs created: or elimi	inated: Describe the tvi	bes of jobs or occupations impa	acted: An unidentified number
of jobs will be created in manufacturing, inst			
		-	
6. Will the regulation affect the ability of California bus	sinesses to compete with other st	ates by making it more costly to	produce goods or services here?
Yes Vo If yes, expla	ain briefly:		·
Tes V No 11 yes, expire	an briefly.		
B. ESTIMATED COSTS (Include calculations and ass	umptions in the rulemaking record	i.)	
1. What are the total statewide dollar costs that busine	esses and individuals may incur to	comply with this regulation over	er its lifetime? \$330 Million
a. Initial costs for a small business: \$	Annual ongoing	costs: \$ 6.5/acre Y	ears: 20
b. Initial costs for a typical business: \$	_ Annual ongoing	costs: \$Y	ears: 20
c. Initial costs for an individual: \$	_ Annual ongoing	costs: \$ Y	ears:
d. Describe other economic costs that may occur:			

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

If multiple industries are impacted, enter the share of total costs for each industry:	
 If the regulation imposes reporting requirements, enter the annual costs a typical business may i costs to do programming, record keeping, reporting, and other paperwork, whether or not the pa 	
	the annual dollar cost per housing unit: and the
	te regulation given the existence or absence of Federal (e). Applies only to federal water suppliers.
Enter any additional costs to businesses and/or individuals that may be due to State - Federal did	ferences: \$
C. ESTIMATED BENEFITS (Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not specifically required by	ulemaking law, but encouraged.)
Briefly summarize the benefits that may result from this regulation and who will benefit: Ag wa allowing for adoption of a pricing structure based on volume of water delivered, trans	ter delivered will be accurately measured, thus
for farmers and will also encourage water conservation. Potentially saved water will	esult in added revenues to suppliers.
2. Are the benefits the result of : ✓ specific statutory requirements, or ☐ goals developed Explain: SB X7-7, Steinberg, Statutes of 2009 required DWR to adopt an agricultural not known	
What are the total statewide benefits from this regulation over its lifetime? D. ALTERNATIVES TO THE REGULATION (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemakin specifically required by rulemaking law, but encouraged.)	g record. Estimation of the dollar value of benefits is not
List alternatives considered and describe them below. If no alternatives were considered, explain It provides for a range of options for compliance. However, other alternatives were considered.	why not: Regulation is mandated by law. onsidered: Alternative 1, Requiring
specific technologies and devices for compliance; Alternative 2, Allowing a phased in	nplementation for compliance.
2. Summarize the total statewide costs and benefits from this regulation and each alternative consid	ered:
Regulation: Benefit: \$\unquantified Cost:	nantified
3. Briefly discuss any quantification issues that are relevant to a comparison of estimated costs and Alternative 1 was dismissed as being too prescriptive, constraining suppliers, and imp	penefits for this regulation or alternatives:
Alternative 2 was dismissed as not being consistent with the mandated compliance de	te in SB X7-7.
4. Rulemaking law requires agencies to consider performance standards as an alternative, if a regu	lation mandates the use of specific technologies or
equipment, or prescribes specific actions or procedures. Were performance standards considered	
Explain: Regulation requires the use of any water measurement device that meet mining	
specific devices or technologies. This approach provides flexibility for compliance and r	······································
E. MAJOR REGULATIONS (Include calculations and assumptions in the rulemaking record.) C	autha poards, offices, and departments are subject to the

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

1. Will th	estimated costs of this regulation to California business enterprises exceed \$10 million ? Yes No (If No, skip the rest of this section.)
	escribe each equally as an effective alternative, or combination of alternatives, for which a cost-effectiveness analysis was performed: Unquantified, but judged by DWR to be more costly than the proposed regulation and or not meeting the intent of the law.
	ive 2: Unquantified, but judged by DWR to be more costly than the proposed regulation and or not meeting the intent of the law.
٠	egulation, and each alternative just described, enter the estimated total cost and overall cost-effectiveness ratio:
Regu	ion: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
Alterr	
Alterr	ve 2: \$ Cost-effectiveness ratio: \$
	FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT
	EFFECT ON LOCAL GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 6 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current of subsequent Fiscal Years.)
	itional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year which are reimbursable by the State pursuant to ion 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code. Funding for this reimbursement:
[a. is provided in, Budget Act of or Chapter, Statutes of
į	b. will be requested in the Governor's Budget for appropriation in Budget Act of
	in the current State Fiscal Year which are not reimbursable by the State pursuant to ion 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution and Sections 17500 et seq. of the Government Code because this regulation:
[a. implements the Federal mandate contained in
[b. implements the court mandate set forth by the
	court in the case ofvs
[c. implements a mandate of the people of this State expressed in their approval of Proposition No at the
ı	•
	d. is issued only in response to a specific request from the, which is/are the only local entity(s) affected;
[e. will be fully financed from theauthorized by Section
	of theCode;
- [f. provides for savings to each affected unit of local government which will, at a minimum, offset any additional costs to each such unit;
[g. creates, eliminates, or changes the penalty for a new crime or infraction contained in
3.	vings of approximately \$annually.
4.	additional costs or savings because this regulation makes only technical, non-substantive or clarifying changes to current law regulations.

ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT cont. (STD. 399, Rev. 12/2008)

7 5.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any local entity or program.
6.	Other.
	CAL EFFECT ON STATE GOVERNMENT (Indicate appropriate boxes 1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal impact for the current d two subsequent Fiscal Years.)
1.	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year. It is anticipated that State agencies will:
	a. be able to absorb these additional costs within their existing budgets and resources.
	b. request an increase in the currently authorized budget level for thefiscal year.
_ 2.	Savings of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.
✓ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any State agency or program.
4.	Other.
	CAL EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDING OF STATE PROGRAMS (Indicate appropriate boxes1 through 4 and attach calculations and assumptions of fiscal for the current year and two subsequent Fiscal Years.)
impact	tor the current year and two subsequent risoar rears.)
	. Additional expenditures of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.
لسا	
2.	Savings of of approximately \$ in the current State Fiscal Year.
√ 3.	No fiscal impact exists because this regulation does not affect any federally funded State agency or program.
4.	Other.
FISCAL	OFFICER SIGNATURE WEST OF BROWN A/26/11
	CY SECRETARY 1 OVAL/CONCURRENCE DATE 4 (18)
	PROGRAM BUDGET MANAGER RTMENT OF FINANCE OVAL/CONCURRENCE

- The signature attests that the agency has completed the STD.399 according to the instructions in SAM sections 6601-6616, and understands the
 impacts of the proposed rulemaking. State boards, offices, or department not under an Agency Secretary must have the form signed by the highest
 ranking official in the organization.
- 2. Finance approval and signature is required when SAM sections 6601-6616 require completion of Fiscal Impact Statement in the STD.399.